

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/819,036	Applicant(s) VIRTANEN, JORMA A.	
	Examiner Jonathan ML Foreman	Art Unit 3736	

All Participants:

(1) Jonathan ML Foreman.

(2) Drew S. Hamilton.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double patenting rejection made 11/5/02.

Claims discussed:

21 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the interview the Examiner pointed out that claims 21 and 22 were cancelled in the amendment filed 4/16/03. However, claims 21 and 22 were inadvertently placed back in with the petition filed 5/24/04. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 21 and 22 to overcome the double patenting rejection made 11/5/02..